DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL FOR ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS

RECORDED TRANSCRIPTION

X ----- X

INTERVIEW OF

Dr. Christopher Rodriguez

February 12, 2021

This is a privileged document which contains CONTROLLED UNGLASSIFIED INFORMATION (CUI) that may be exempt from release under the Freedom of Information Act. The document and information contained therein may not be released, in whole or in part, without written permission of the Deputy Inspector General for Administrative Investigations, Office of Inspector General, Department of Defense.

PROCEEDING

1

25

2	Today is February 12, 2021. The time is 9:03
3	a.m. My name is
4	. We are investigators with the Department of
5	Defense, Office of the Inspector General. With us today is Dr.
6	Christopher Rodriguez, Director, Washington, D.C. Homeland
7	Security and Emergency Management Agency. Also present with Dr.
8	Rodriguez is and and , from the
9	Mayor's Office of Legal Counsel. From the D.C. Homeland Security
10	and Emergency Management Agency we have
11	; and
12	. As we discussed before going
13	on tape we are conducting a review of the events surrounding
14	DoD's involvement leading up to and during the civil disturbances
15	in the District of Columbia and at the U.S. Capitol on January 6,
16	2021. We are conducting this interview telephonically. I am
17	located in Virginia. Dr.
18	Rodriguez and those present with Dr. Rodriguez are located in the
19	District of Columbia. Dr. Rodriguez, please acknowledge that
20	you're aware we're recording this interview.
21	DR. RODRIGUEZ: I am aware that we are recording this
22	interview.
23	: Thank you so much. Also, please acknowledge
24	that you received a copy of the DoD IG Privacy Act Notice.

DR. RODRIGUEZ: I did receive a copy of the DoD IG Privacy

1 Policy.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Perfect. I'll now administer the oath. Sir,

if you would please raise your right hand.

Whereupon:

DR. CHRISTOPHER R. RODRIGUEZ

was called as a witness, placed under oath, and provided the following testimony:

EXAMINATION

BY :

- Q: For the record, please state your full name and spell your last name.
- 12 A: Christopher Ryan Rodriguez. Last name 13 R-O-D-R-I-G-U-E-Z.
 - Q: Also for the record please state your current position.
 - A: I'm the Director of the District of Columbia's Homeland Security and Emergency Management Agency.
 - Q: All right, sir, as I indicated we have three different areas that we'd like to cover with you. The first being the advanced coordination leading up to January 6, 2021. Can you please walk us through what happened regarding any preparations or coordination your office had with DoD starting when the event was first announced through January 5, 2021?
 - A: Absolutely. So, the primary agency that I liaised with was the D.C. National Guard and if we're to assume that's a unit of the Department of Defense that would be the agency that I

interacted with. We first, "we" being the District, first sent a request to the D.C. National Guard on December 31st, 2020, for support for the Metropolitan Police Department, and the Fire, and EMS Department for the District for personnel and assets to be staged on District streets and District Property because we had reasonable suspicion that there would be large crowds and the potential for violence. So, we did request D.C. National Guard Support.

- Q: Now when you say, sir, that you primarily discussed any requests directly with the National Guard, was there anybody else at that point that you were talking to at DoD?
 - A: No, not with DoD, no.

2.0

- Q: Did any other agency reach out to you and ask your office to request National Guard Support?
- A: Yes. So, the process, the way the process works in the District is the public safety agencies such as the Metropolitan Police Department, Fire, and EMS will reach out to my office to initiate the request process for National Guard support. So the Metropolitan Police Department, and Fire, and EMS they outline their needs and send them to HSEMA, my office, Homeland Security and Emergency Management Agency. We then package those requests into a letter for -- that is addressed to Major General William Walker, the Commanding General of the National Guard, and we send that off to him as a formal request on behalf of the Mayor of the District of Columbia.

- Q: So, with regards to the events leading up to January

 5th what specifically did you request?

 A: Well those requests are in the letters that you -- that
- you're referring to and that were sent over. So, what we do is we take the specific requests from MPD, Metropolitan Police

 Department, and the Fire and EMS, FEMS as we refer to them, and then we put them in a letter and it is the Director of Homeland Security, me, or anyone who's occupying my position that then takes all that together and submits it to the D.C. National Guard on behalf of the Mayor of the city.
- Q: And we'll get more into the -- some of the letters here in a moment, but specifically are you referring to the December 31st, 2020, letter from Mayor Bowser to Major General Walker referring to the request that we're talking about here?
 - A: Yes.

2.0

- Q: So, all right. And we have that letter, sir. Can you tell us what D.C. National Guard's response was?
- A: We learned about D.C. National Guard's response by phone call, and that was on January 4th when Major General Walker called me and Police Chief Contee letting us know that the request that we had submitted on December 31st was approved by the Secretary of the Army. That was done via phone call. I can't speak to how it was done internally at the National Guard.
 - Q: Was that phone call documented in any way, sir?
 - A: Documented how?

1 O: Whether it be a memorandum, or some sort of note, or 2 what have you, conference call note, meeting? 3 A: No, it was not. And on this particular instance can you describe what 4 specific D.C. National Guard assistance your office requested? 5 A: I'm sorry, can you repeat the question? You broke up a 6 7 little bit. Q: Yes. On this specific instance can you describe what 8 D.C. National Guard assistance your office requested? 9 10 A: I would have to get the specific details on, if you're referring to sort of the tactical requests, operational requests 11 12 those originate with the Metropolitan Police Department and with 13 Fire and EMS. So, I can get that information. I don't believe 14 it's in any of the paperwork that you have here. 15 O: Yes, and if you would provide that we would be greatly 16 appreciative. 17 A: Sure. And you already said, and just to confirm your contact 18 was strictly with D.C. National Guard at that point --19 2.0 Α: Yes. 21 Q: -- and you talked to the Commanding General. Is that correct, sir? 22 23 A: That is correct. 24 Q: All right. Sir, moving onto the December 31st letter. 25 Do you have that in front of you at this moment?

A: Yes, I do.

Q: In context of that letter the first thing we'd like to ask that you provide us a copy of any requests for assistance that the D.C. government sent to DoD related to the anticipated events of January 6th. Is that okay, sir?

A: Yes.

Q: And could you describe what justification D.C. government provided for requesting National Guard support in advance of January 6th?

A: When you say "justification" you mean what -- well, what do you mean by justification?

Q: Yes, sir. So, what we're trying to establish is the basis or the reason that National Guard was deemed necessary at this point.

A: Typically for large scale events the Metropolitan Police Department, and Fire EMS will request more administrative and logistical support. We've actually have a National Guard activated or at least in support of the city for the global pandemic since last year. And so in this case what we wanted to do, and again this was a tactical and operational decision by MPD and FEMS, was to have traffic management support in the downtown area. As well as crowd management support near our Metro stations in order to again ensure the free flow, and safety, and security in the downtown area, and around our transportation hubs. That's a typical request that we have for the National Guard. In terms

of why we requested it, we did have information, and again, I know we're not going to talk about classified information, but we did have information indicating that there were individuals associated with right-wing extremist groups that were arriving in the District the weekend prior to the 6th. So, I believe that was over a New Year's weekend, and we had known or seen through our information and sharing with our liaison partners a lot of inflammatory rhetoric, threats of violence, and we assessed that we would need additional support to do our duty which is to make sure that First Amendment rights are observed and that people can come here and demonstrate, but that's one of our many red lines is that violence is not acceptable so we needed that extra support from the National Guard.

- Q: You already indicated that it was on January 4th that you received a call from the Commanding General who stated that the request had been approved. Is that correct?
 - A: That's correct.

- Q: And was there any other discussions that took place at that time that we should be aware of other than he said that the request was approved?
 - A: There was, you mean on the 4th?
- Q: Yes, sir, on that telephone call. Did the Commanding General have discussions with you with regards to role, equipment, capability, numbers?
 - A: He did, yes. He did tell me that they were going to be

deploying 340 Guard to meet our request. It's important to note the District does not request specific personnel numbers. The D.C. National Guard gets our sort of operational requests and then determines internally, and I can't speak to how that process and decisions are made, but they assess how they will posture to meet our requirements, and what General Walker expressed to me was that they would meet our requirements with 340 National Guard personnel.

- Q: So, you didn't request the number of personnel. Did you request so far as specifically from you or from your office did you request a specific role, equipment, or a capability?
- A: Yes, sir, and I can provide those letters to you but let me read off what those letters -- what that letter requested so you can have some of the specifics.
 - Q: Yes, please.

A: From the Metropolitan Police Department six crowd management teams to manage crowds at specified Metro stations and prevent overcrowding on Metro platforms. A team to assist at 30 designated traffic posts. Fire and EMS. Requested members of the D.C. National Guard Civil Support Team. Conduct the following five tasks on request. One, to allow chemical, biological, radiation, nuclear monitoring and the hazardous material on site support. Two, provide liaisons that are required locations. Three, provide technical decontamination support. Four, provide analytical laboratory system support. And five, provide CSG

operations, communications capabilities support. Those were the specific requests.

- Q: Okay. Great. Were there any other discussions or requests regarding the use of National Guard members such as in law enforcement roles?
- A: So it is -- again, it's important to note that the Mayor of the District of Columbia can designate D.C. National Guard personnel providing traffic control to support MPD as "special police" of MPD empowering them with law enforcement authority commensurate with that of D.C. civilian police, and that's in line with D.C. Code. At all times though D.C. National Guard military chain of command does remain intact for purposes of administration and operational control. We made a request that no D.C. National Guard will be armed during the mission. And that at no time will D.C. National Guard personnel or assets be engaged in domestic surveillance, searches, or seizures of U.S. persons.
- Q: Just looking at my notes here, sir, making sure I didn't miss anything. Now, in the December 31 memo that we were talking about a moment ago Mayor Bowser referenced recent protest from November 14 and December 12. Can you please tell us why she referenced these two particular protests in her request for support?
- A: Yeah, those were post demonstrations and protest where we did see some violence in the District from right-wing

extremist who had come to the -- had come to our city to protest the Presidential election results.

- Q: What request for assistance were submitted to the DoD for these two particular protests do you recall?
 - A: I do not recall. I can circle back with you on that.
- Q: Okay. I would appreciate that, we also like to know when, and specifically what was requested, and the outcome of the request. Moving on, sir. We provided you a letter dated January 1 from Major General Walker to the Honorable Ryan McCarthy, Secretary of the Army. The letter outlines both Mayor Bowser's and your request for the National Guard support. Could you reference that letter?
 - A: I have it in front of me.

2.0

- Q: Could you tell us your opinion of the letter and does it accurately reflect your request for National Guard support?
 - A: It does accurately reflect our request.
- Q: Can you tell us about any restrictions or equipment limitations the D.C. government placed on DoD supporting the request for assistance prior to this point? I know you briefly discussed it a moment ago.
- A: Yeah, what I discussed a moment ago and is consistent with what our requests were.
- Q: How did your office communicate these restrictions to DoD?
 - A: We communicated that in writing.

1 O: Okay and at what point, when? December 31 of 2020. That was in the Mayor's letter, 2 **A**: 3 the second paragraph. That's how that was communicated then, there were no 4 other communications? 5 No. There were -- what I mentioned to you previously in 6 7 terms of getting you the information for the requests that we sent to the National Guard on December 31 we have those letters 8 from me. So if there -- this letter January 1 that you referring 9 10 to, the first line reads, "Enclosed our letters from Mayor Bowser and Dr. Christopher Rodriguez." The letter from me to the D.C. 11 12 National Guard which has those specific operational requests we 13 can send to you. That's where it's coming from. 14 Q: Oh, yes, please. We don't have that one. Okay. And can you tell us who placed those restrictions or equipment 15 16 limitations on the DoD personnel and just explain why? 17 A: Well, it would be -- they were communicated in both letters from the Mayor from myself. These are consistent with 18 past practice for MPD and FEMS's requests and so they were just 19 2.0 consistent with what we had always requested. 21 Q: But there was nothing's specific to the intelligence leading up through January 6, correct? 22 23 A: Well, it's important to note that the Mayor of the 24 District of Columbia nor the city cannot on the National Guard. 25 We don't have the authority to do that. Only the President can do

- that. So, we had expressed that we do not want armed National Guard in the city.
 - Q: Okay. Understood. All right, sir, moving on. We also provided you a letter dated January 4 of 2021 from Acting Secretary Defense Miller to the Secretary the Army the subject of the letter is employment guidance for the District of Columbia.
 - A: I see it. Yes, I have it in front of me.
 - Q: Can you tell us about your knowledge of Acting

 Secretary Defense Miller's memo that detailed how National Guard

 personnel would be equipped in support of the District?
 - A: I have no knowledge of it.

2.0

- Q: Did this letter affect the use of National Guard? Or how did I guess this letter affect the ability to use National Guard?
 - A: I don't know. I can't speak to that.
- Q: Did you have any type of response or did you coordinate on any response to this letter?
- A: You're talking about the memorandum for the Secretary the Army from Acting Secretary Christopher Miller, right?
 - A: That is correct. Yes, sir.
 - A: No, I had no knowledge of this memo or this letter.
- Q: Okay. Understood, sir. Okay, sir, moving on. We provided you a letter dated January 5 of '21 from Mayor Bowser to Acting Secretary Defense Miller confirming that there was no additional support requirements. Do you have that letter in front

of you, sir?

- A: Yes, I do. This is the one dated January 5?
- 3 Q: That is correct.
 - A: Yes, sir. Yes, I have that letter in front of me.
 - Q: Can you explain the intent of sending this to Acting Secretary Miller?
 - A: It was sent to the Acting Attorney General, the Secretary the Army, and the Acting Sec Def. The intent of this letter was to ensure that any additional federal law enforcement authorities that were deployed in the city be coordinated with the District of Columbia which had not been done in the past.
 - Q: What concerns did you have with DoD's responsiveness to the request for assistance from your office? Speaking prior to January 6?
 - A: I'm sorry, can you clarify?
 - Q: Yeah, can you talk to any concerns that you or your office had regarding DoD's responsiveness to your request for assistance prior to January 6?
 - A: So, are you talking about the D.C. National Guard response?
 - Q: Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
 - A: Okay. Any concerns, well we were concerned that we sent the letter on December 31 and did in hear until January 4 whether that request was approved. And so because obviously the presence of the National Guard to support for traffic management and crowd

control has operational, and tactical locations for MPD deployments. So, we were concerned that it was taking so long, almost I guess what would it be? Four or five days to get that request approved.

Did you ever find out any of the reasons for the delay?

No, I did not. A:

And we'll touch a little bit more about this in the \circ : last section that we want discuss. do you have any questions here?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Q: Yes, sir. Dr. Rodriquez, I just have two questions for you. The first one you spoke about classified, and once again I'm not looking for any classified information, but you said you had received Intel/classified information that there was going to be some nefarious groups in attendance. Did you share any of that information with anyone at the D.C. National Guard?

A: I would have to check with our Intelligence Fusion Center on that. I know that they did convene several calls and meetings with our federal partners. I believe the National Guard was part of that but I can't speak definitively to that.

Q: If you would just check on that we would appreciate that. The second question I have for you is you told us that the response from the D.C. National Guard was to deploy 340 personnel. My question is, did the response from the D.C. National Guard of the deployment of the 340 personnel meet your

1 needs, the two needs from MPD in the six areas of concerns for 2 fire? 3 A: Yes it did. It met our requests, our needs, because we didn't request for numbers. 4 All right. Thank you. 6 7 Q: Okay, sir. Continuing on. The next section I'd like to 8 discuss with you is the coordination that actually took place on January 6. 9 10 A: I'm sorry. Hey, I do want to know -- before we get to the next question I think that it's important to -- what our 11 12 needs were, and this is something that I've had talked to a lot 13 of people about in the last few weeks. Ask National Guard support 14 was for support to D.C., the city's request. We do not have jurisdiction to request D.C. National Guard support for federal 15 16 property or on behalf of federal authorities. We made requests 17 based on what the city's needs were to secure city streets and to 18 secure city property. So, what the question that the gentleman 19 asked me in terms of whether it met our needs, it did meet our 20 needs to protect city streets and city property at the time. 21 Yes, sir. Understood. , do you have any follow-ups to that? 22 23 No follow-ups, thank you for the clarification. 24 BY 25 O: Okay. All right, sir. As I indicated the next area that we'd like to discuss is the coordination on January 6, and understanding what you just informed us about so far as the restrictions on what is -- you know you would request D.C.

National Guard support for. That may play into some of this, so please elaborate as needed. The first thing I'd like to talk to you about is we provided you a letter dated January 6, 2021 from you to Major General Walker with the subject, D.C. National Guard support request for First Amendment events in Washington DC. Can you reference that please?

- A: Yes, I have that in front of me.
- Q: Please tell us what happened between your office and

 DoD starting on the morning of January 6? Can you walk us through

 all of those events?
- A: So, my office and D.C. National Guard beginning on January 6?
- Q: Yes, sir.

A: Okay. So our Emergency Operation Center, the city's Emergency Operation Center was activated actually on January 4. So we were -- we had liaison officers from a variety of different agencies including the D.C. National Guard here at HSEMA, Anacostia, and so our interactions with the D.C. National Guard were pretty close and tight because they were embedded within our Emergency Operations Center. So, we were typically just monitoring the situation for the first part of the days as individual started assembling downtown.

Q: Can you tell us what happened during the January 6 event that resulted in you or your office requesting additional support?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

So, throughout the event and I want to be clear when we say my office requested additional support, we did not request additional support from the National Guard for the city during that event. When we had seen the barricades being breached at the U.S. Capitol, the city, my office, Metropolitan Police Department had to sort of step in to assist the U.S. Capital Police with getting in touch with the D.C. National Guard, and we convened, or I convened to call at roughly a little bit after 2:00 o'clock I believe the time was about 2:22, convened a call with the Chief of the Capital Police with Chief Contee, General Walker and members of the Sec Army staff to discuss what the Capital Police needed up at capitol because it was clear from a city standpoint that these federal entities were having difficulty either getting in touch with each other or communicating their needs and requirements. And so we felt the need to step in to facilitate that discussion.

Q: Okay, sir. Since you mentioned this call that is one of the things we want to talk to about a little further down. I'll go ahead and move through that. During that particular call, well one, can you tell us all the individuals that were on the phone?

A: I can tell you the individuals I knew were on the call.

I mean from my standpoint we were all in separate locations. So I

can't speak to who may have been listening in on the other line, but I know that I convened the Chief of Capital Police, Chief Sund, Chief Contee from the Metropolitan Police Department was on the line, General Walker from the D.C. National Guard was on the line. I also came to find -- found out that General Piatt and General Flynn were on the line from Sec Army's office. I did not invite them but I guess the D.C. National Guard did to be on the line.

Q: Okay. It's our understanding that at some point during this call someone from the Sec Army staff made a comment referencing the optic of putting National Guard soldiers on the ground. Can you tell us about that, please?

A: Yeah. So the -- there was discussion about the fact that the Chief of Capital Police needed additional support. It's important to note that the Metropolitan Police Department, and Fire EMS were already on the scene assisting the U.S. Capital Police, and the Chief, Chief Sund on the call said that they needed D.C. National Guard support. And there was a comment made that that would not be good from an optic standpoint, and we, and in particularly that would not be good from an optic standpoint to confront "peaceful protesters." I say that in quotes. Without -- sorry, go ahead.

Q: I'm sorry, sir. That's specifically what they said?

A: That is what I recall that they said.

Q: Okay.

A: And then to which the Chief of Metropolitan Police Department, Chief Contee said, "Well, they're not peaceful anymore."

- Q: Yes, sir. Who specifically was it that made the comment about optics?
- A: I do not know other than what I read in the press, but
 I do believe it was one of the generals that was on the line from
 Sec Army staff that I referred to.
- Q: Did you or your staff document this comment in meeting minutes or in any other way?
 - A: We did not.

- Q: All right. How did you interpret that comment? We're moving into asking how that may have influenced any of the decision-making that took place.
- A: How did it -- it shocked me quite frankly. And we recognize that we might not be able to get an answer to getting needed support up to the Capitol in a timely fashion. So, I believe shortly after that comment was made the phone call ended and we don't know, or I don't know what discussions took place after that either at DoD with Sec Def, Sec Army, or anyone else. So, it kind of shocked me because we had a situation where the Metropolitan Police Department had been on the scene at the Capitol for over an hour at that point, and we couldn't get any additional support from DoD.
 - Q: Well what if any effect that comment have on any of the

request for additional National Guard support from you?

A: Well, again I can't request D.C. National Guard support to go to the Capitol. I am not authorized to do that. I can't make that request on behalf of the federal entity to the federal building. So, I never had the authority to request that. That's why we were convening a call. So that Chief Sund can make that request directly to the Commanding General of the National Guard and to Sec Army staff.

- Q: So, am I understanding to say at this point you were just acting more as a facilitator to make sure Chief Sund got in contact with the right folks?
- A: Yes. Because he was having trouble getting an answer from DoD so the city had to step in and facilitate and actually elevate that conversation.
- Q: Did you or any member of your team your staff take any specific actions resulting from that comment in the meeting about optics?
 - A: No. How do you mean?
- Q: Just, were there any notifications, anybody call, whether it be the Mayor anybody else to brief them on the nature of that call?
- A: Well, the Chief of Police was on the line. We were communicating with District leadership about the situation constantly at the Capitol. So, I did not call the Mayor after that.

1	Q: Okay. Okay, sir. I'm going to move back up. On January
2	6 could you explain to us how the D.C. National Guard personnel
3	which were previously approved prior to January 6 were utilized?
4	A: Well I think I've described how they were utilized. For
5	traffic management. They were at traffic point posts on district
6	streets and patrolling some of our Metro stations.
7	Q: Was that changed after any of the protest had turned
8	violent?
9	A: Well, again I think it's important to note any change
10	to an approved mission cannot be undertaken without the approval
11	of the Secretary the Army. So, for example the Mayor of District
12	of Columbia, the Chief of the Metropolitan Police Department,
13	Director of Homeland Security is not authorized to change the
14	mission of the D.C. National Guard that are deployed to a very
15	specified mission for the city.
16	Q: Yes, understood. So, but did a change occur? Do you
17	know if any of those assets that were previously approved were
18	redirected as a result of the change in mission?
19	A: That's a question for internal DoD. I was not privy to
20	that if that happened because I'm not in the chain of command and
21	not authorized to make those decisions.
22	Q: Yes, understood. We're just trying to determine also
23	what you saw on the ground as well. Okay. What agency or agencies
24	specifically asked your office to request D.C. National Guard

support on January 6?

A: MPD and FEMS. Metropolitan Police Department and Fire EMS.

- Q: Yes. Now, were there any specific circumstances that led to that request on January 6 as a result of the violence that took place?
- A: Well, as I mentioned were carefully monitoring the threat environment leading up to the 6th internal to the city but also with federal partners. And again we made the strategic decision that we needed additional support for the 6th, and that's why we requested the National Guard.
- Q: Okay. What specific D.C. National Guard support whether it would be the people, roles, equipment capabilities, etcetera did your office request on that date? Can you talk to that?
- A: I think we covered that. I was reading sort of what the requests were, again we can send you the letter that kind of outlined all of our request for the Guard and what those were. I think I mentioned 30 traffic posts, management teams.
- Q: Okay. So that was the same request. Yeah, we just want to determine the difference between what was requested prior to January 6 and then what actually occurred on January 6. All right. I'll move on down here. You've answered a couple of these. And all of your discussions or request for D.C. National Guard support were directly with the General of the National Guard there? We've already established that. Can you explain how any written request for assistance are routed?

1 Yes, they are sent via email the PDF e-mail. Q: Okay. Do you send them directly or is it someone on 2 3 your staff? Someone on my staff sends them on my behalf. To, yes to 4 the National Guard. 5 O: So, as far as speaking specifically for the requests 6 7 that were sent on January 6, can you tell us what time they were 8 sent and what time you received the response? 9 A: So no requests were sent on January 6. The requests 10 were sent on December 31st and, that was done via e-mail. I mean I can pull the timestamps for when they were sent on December 31, 11 12 but we did not receive a written response to our written request 13 from the D.C. National Guard or at least if there was one sent it 14 wasn't sent to me. But, that phone call --15 Q: January 4? 16 On January 4. Was made I believe around a little after 17 10:00 a.m. in the morning on January 4. Q: Can you tell us with regards to any of the request that 18 you had submitted did DoD deny any of those request? 19 2.0 A: No, they did not deny any of the requests that we requested. 21 O: Okay. Can you tell us what kind of timeframe they gave 22 23 you for providing the additional support you requested? 24 A: Typically it can take -- yet depends on the request, 25 right? So, sometimes it can take a day or two. Sometimes it takes longer. In this particular case as I mentioned we made the request on December 31 and we got an answer on the 4th. The morning of the 4th.

- Q: Okay. Are there e-mails, text messages or other documents relating to January 6 request for assistance that you have that we should review?
 - A: I don't have anything.
- Q: Okay. Other than what we had already talked about that you can provide? Let's see, what support the DoD's provide to the District of Columbia on January 6? Is that limited to what was in that letter? Is that correct?
 - A: Yes.

2.0

- Q: Okay. Are you familiar with what time the additional support started arriving in D.C. in response to the riots?
 - A: I'm sorry, can you flush it out a little bit?
- A: Yes. We're trying to determine at what point the National Guard, the additional National Guard or DoD support started arriving in the District in response to the Capitol events?
- A: Yeah, that would be internal to DoD about when they deployed. So I think that would be -- or the National Guard. So I think that would be a better question for them because I wouldn't have the specific details on that. I don't want to tell you something that's not accurate.
 - Q: Okay. Yeah, great. Obviously we want to know what

they're going to tell us, but we also want to know what was physically occurring on the ground. Sometimes there could be a disconnect. We just need to determine if there is. Can you talk to any concerns that you have with DoD's responsiveness to request for assistance from your office on January 6 or even previously?

2.0

A: So, again, yeah the requests there were no -- the requests weren't made on January 6. The requests were made on December 31 for the 6th. And so, the concern that I had was that we weren't hearing from D.C. National Guard and from DoD. We weren't hearing the responses from what I would define it in a timely way so that we could plan and prepare. Ultimately we did because that's just what we do, but I think that was a big concern for me was that it was taking several days for this request to be approved at DoD.

Q: Okay. Now sir, we understand that Secretary of the Army McCarthy traveled into D.C. and met with you and possibly others at MPD Headquarters. Is that correct, and if so can you tell us about that meeting?

A: Yes. That is correct. On the afternoon of the 6th the city's public safety leadership convened at MPD which was our sort of incident command post for the Capital, for the city, and Secretary McCarthy did come and brought his staff. And so there were a lot of discussions about how the Secretary of the Army and how the D.C. National Guard could support some of the operations

up at the Capitol. But he was there for several hours so there were several conversations that took place. Some of which I'm --

- Q: Can you -- oh, go ahead. I'm sorry. Go ahead, sir. I'm sorry. I cut you off.
 - Q: No, some of which I wasn't involved in so.

- Q: Well, for that part that you were involved in, sir, can you tell us who all was present and what was discussed?
- A: I don't know everyone -- well I didn't know everyone on the Secretary of the Army's staff so I can -- you know there was that Sec Army comes in there's a lot of staffers with him. I can't speak to everyone that was there but certainly later in the evening General Walker arrived with some of his entourage as well. Again I didn't know everyone that was with him but from the city side of course we had myself, the Chief of Police, the Chief of Fire, EMS. The City Administrator, the Director of the Department of Public Works, myself as I mentioned, and the Mayor.
 - Q: Okay. Can you tell us what was discussed?
- A: A lot of it was trying to get some level of situation awareness. It didn't appear to me that the Sec Army or the National Guard, and again I'm not privy to what DoD's orders were to Sec Army about what he was allowed to and not allowed to do. But our focused really was on getting resources to the Capitol from a city standpoint and working MPD and trying to make sure that they Mayor had all of the information that she needed in order to make decisions about MPD and sort of supporting the

Chief getting people up there to the Capitol to as a night went on of course begin clearing operations to take back the building.

- Q: Understood. Understood. Now, did Secretary McCarthy or any of his staff tell you about DoD's response to the ongoing events at the Capitol? Did they describe what they were doing?
- A: You know, I'll be honest. It seemed like there was a lot of doubletalk. I mean our primary concern was the fact that we had over -- that there was an insurrection occurring, and so we didn't have time to sort of frankly to wait for decisions to come down from DoD, for someone to call someone in the Secretary's office to get approval to change the missions to get Guard in. It appeared that there wasn't a quick reaction capability. And so, quite frankly, sir, like pretty quickly our attention turned to how are we going to assist Capital Police given the fact that we had over 800 MPD officers there. We had officers from across the region as well coming in to clear the Capitol. And so, the DoD and Sec Army factor there kind of became not as prominent because we knew that there wasn't much, or it appeared to us that there wasn't much that they could provide in the immediate term that we needed.
- Q: Okay. Copy that. Now, did you have any direct conversations with Secretary Miller on January 6?
 - A: No, I did not.

2.0

Q: What about members of OSD staff or the Joint Chief of Staff?

1	A: No, sir, none.
2	Q: Okay. And sir, we also provided you a letter dated
3	February 1, 2021 from Chief Sund to a Member of Congress. Do you
4	have that letter, sir?
5	A: Yes, I do.
6	Q: Have you an opportunity to review it?
7	A: I have, yes.
8	Q: Okay. Is there can you describe anything you
9	disagree with or your opinion of that letter?
10	A: Well the only I mean the only thing I can really
11	speak to is the part that I guess that I'm directly involved in
12	which was that call, and I believe that's referenced on Page 6 in
13	the letter. And so I think that is I think that's an accurate
14	depiction of what was said and I guess here it indicates that
15	Lieutenant General Piatt was the one that sort of talked about
16	visuals and optics. So I you know I think that is that's an
17	accurate description in that paragraph of the conversations that
18	I was involved in. But the rest of it I don't want to presuppose
19	to know whether it's factual or not.
20	Understood sir, thank you. do you have
21	any questions on that section?
22	

Q: Yes. I have a couple. First thing you mentioned it a number of times, but I just want to put it for the record. The District of Columbia asked for no additional capabilities

23

24

requests from the D.C. National Guard based on the events at the Capitol on January 6. Is that correct, Doctor?

- A: The District of Columbia made no formal requests for any additional D.C. National Guard support for the city, and for city streets, and city property on the 6th.
- Q: Okay. Thank you. My next question is several media articles have stated that District of Columbia received more resources from the D.C. National Guard then it requested. How do you respond to that?
- A: The city does not put specific numbers or anything like that on -- in our requests. We simply lay out what we need from an operational and tactical standpoint and it's the D.C. National Guard, and I presume it is the Department of Defense that decides how and at what level those requests are going to be met.
- Q: Okay. Thank you. You spoke about the Secretary the Army traveling to MPD Headquarters for a meeting. Has the Secretary the Army ever traveled to D.C. for an in person meeting before or was this the first time that you know of?
- A: The Secretary the Army I think he's located in D.C.

 Maybe he's in the Pentagon, but in any event I have been -- I've heard that he has been here in D.C. before but I really can't speak to whether he -- at what meetings or anything like that that he said.
- Q: Okay. Thank you. You stated it appeared to you that there was no D.C. National Guard quick reaction force. Did

anybody tell you directly that there was no quick reaction force available?

- A: Could you repeat the first part of you're kind of low.

 I didn't get the whole question.
- Q: Okay. Earlier you stated that it appeared to you that there was no D.C. National Guard quick reaction force available. Did anybody tell you directly that there was no quick reaction force available to you?
- A: So I want to be clear. There could have been a quick reaction force available from the D.C. National Guard. What I would say is that whatever was available was clearly not able to be deployed because we were not getting positive sort of responses from requests by the Chief of the Capital Police to -- for assistance.
- Q: Okay. Thank you. Two more questions for you. One of them is you said that Chief of Police Sund was having trouble getting an answer from DoD. Do you know who Chief Sund was talking to at DoD?
- A: Well if you -- I mean when -- I can't speak to who Chief Sund was trying to get in touch with or was talking to. It was just clear to the city that there was a breakdown in communication somewhere that we had to step in and just get everyone on the phone quickly in order to give the Chief an opportunity to convey his request directly to the people who needed to hear them.

1 O: So then are you familiar with how the federal agency requests D.C. National Guard, or DoD support? 2 3 A: I am not. And, my last question is for you do you know what 4 capabilities Chief of Police Sund was requesting from DoD? 5 **A**: I do not. 6 7 Q: Okay. Thank you. 8 BY Q: Okay, sir. Next section that we would like to discuss 9 10 is just some general coordination with DoD. You've already addressed the number today. So I apologize up front and for some 11 12 of the sounds repetitive. Let's see. What agencies can ask for 13 D.C. National Guard support so far is your understanding? 14 A: Sure. So any D.C. agency can request National Guard 15 support. However, they will come to me, to the Homeland Security 16 and Emergency Management Agency to assess need and then we will send that letter if we need to. 17 O: Okay. So that is how they are routed for approval. Is 18 19 everything comes through you and then you would send a letter of 20 request. Do I understand that correctly, sir? 21 A: Yes, that is correct. O: Okay. Please, can you describe your understanding of 22 23 the Seven Step Process for requesting that National Guard 24 support? 25 A: My understanding of the Seven Step Process is that the

1 city has exhausted, or at least a particular agency has exhausted its organic capabilities to provide services that it needs to, 2 3 and at that point we would request National Guard resources. O: So sir, I understand it, so all of the request come 4 5 through your office. I assume those are approved directly by you? Is that correct? 6 7 A: That is correct. 8 Okay. Do you delegate any of that coordination 0: 9 responsibility or approval process? 10 A: No, I do not. I speak directly with the heads of the 11 departments that are requesting those resources. 12 Q: So I understand the indicating --13 **A**: That process has been fulfilled. 14 \circ : I'm sorry, sir. You broke up. Can you repeat that? Well, to understand there -- the Seven Step Process for 15 16 National Guard resources and to make sure that I'm comfortable, and we're all comfortable with requesting those resources. 17 O: Yes, sir. So sir, you already indicated that you will 18 contact the General of the National Guard directly and you 19 20 contact him by phone or e-mail, or both. Is that correct? 21 **A**: The formal request is sent via e-mail. Yes, sir. And approval may come back in the form of a 22 23 phone call or a response e-mail. Is that correct? 24 A: Typically it comes back in the form of a phone call.

Q: Okay, sir. Can you describe what all is in included in

a formal request from your office for National Guard's support?

- A: As I mentioned we will -- will send you the letters that I sent which will have those details so you can see what is included in our formal request.
- Q: Okay, sir. Now sir, you already described your request from December 31st and receiving a response on January 4th, but can you describe the average time your office, for your office to receive a response for DoD, or National Guard assistance?
- A: Again, it does depend on what we're requesting and the level of -- the specific capabilities that we're requesting. So, again as I mentioned it can take anywhere from a day or two to what we saw I guess for the 6th.
- Q: All right. Are there any complications or delays that your office has encountered in requesting National Guard support that we haven't already discussed here?
 - A: No.

- Q: Is there anything that we haven't discussed thus far regarding you or your staff's coordination DoD or any effort prior to January 6? Understanding there weren't any directly on January 6 that we should include in this review?
 - A: No.
- Q: Sir, according to media reports some DoD personnel describe the approval process to support the federal and D.C. government during this type of incident as archaic. How would you describe the process on January 6 from your perspective?

1	A: From my perspective I think that the requests were
2	probably took why they did take longer than we had anticipated for approval given the nature of the 6th and what we were seeing,
3	and what we were concerned about the prospects for violence, we were growing concerned after almost 5 days of not hearing what
4	the final decision was. That was very concerning for us. Q: Can you describe any recommendations that you would
5	have for resolving these types of issues or how it could be better streamlined?
6	A: Yes. So the Mayor of the District of Columbia should have control over the D.C. National Guard.
7	Okay. And how would you improved the, well actually problem you kind of already talked about, talk to that
8	one. All right, sir. do you have any follow-up questions? No questions.
U	BY Questions.
9	Q: All right. Well sir, we'll go ahead and proceed into a
	read out. Is there any additional information that you would like
10	to provide that we haven't addressed yet? A: No, thank you.
11	A: No, thank you. Q: Who else should we talk to and why?
	A:I believe you're already scheduled to speak with Mayor
12	Bowser.
	Q: Yes, sir.
13	A: Okay. So that's I know that's it. I know that's
1 1	scheduled.
14	Q: All right. Sir, and you mentioned Mr. Sund. Do you by contact information for him?
15	A: Unfortunately I do not, no.
	Q: Okay. All right, sir. Do you have any questions for us?
16	A: No, sir. I do not. I appreciate your time.
1 -	Q: Okay. Do you have any comments or concerns about the
17	<pre>way we conducted this interview? A: I do not.</pre>
18	Q: Sir, I would just ask that if you do remember anything
	else that you believe may be relevant to our office your General
19	Counsel and everybody in the room have my have my contact
	information. Please feel free to contact me at any time.
20	A: Okay.
	Finally, in order to protect the integrity of
21	this review, we ask that you not discuss the matter under review
22	or the questions we have asked you during this review with anyone
44	other than your legal counsel. The time is 10:08, sir. If there are no other questions this interview is concluded.
23	DR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm sorry, sir.
-	Yes, sir.
24	DR. RODRIGUEZ: Hold on one second. Yeah. I think, no I
	think we're okay. Thank you.

[The interview terminated at 10:05 a.m., February 12, 2021.]